

§1 Weak Mordell-Weil K/\mathbb{Q} finite, E/k EC.

Prop (weak M-W) $E(k)/nE(k)$ is fin gen ab group.

Lemma If L/k finite Galois, $E(L)/nE(L)$ fin gen, then also $E(k)/nE(k)$ is.

Proof Image $(E(k)/nE(k) \xrightarrow{\alpha} E(L)/nE(L))$

is fin gen, so need to show $\ker(\alpha)$ fin gen.

$$\ker(\alpha) = \frac{E(k) \cap nE(L)}{nE(k)}$$

Define a map (not group homom usually)

$$\ker(\alpha) \xrightarrow{c} \text{Maps}(G_{L/k}, E[n](L))$$

$$P \mapsto [c_P: \sigma \mapsto \sigma(Q) - Q]$$

(Left to reader: $Q \in E(L), n \cdot Q = P$)

c well-defined, $c_{P_1+P_2} = c_{P_1} + c_{P_2}$,

factors over \mathbb{F} mod $n \cdot E(k)$.)

Result $c_P \in H^1(G_{L/k}, E[n](L))$.

Then $C_{P_1} = C_{P_2} \Leftrightarrow \delta(Q_1 - Q_2) = Q_1 - Q_2 \forall \delta$

$\Leftrightarrow Q_1 - Q_2 \in E(k)$

$\Leftrightarrow P_1 - P_2 \in n E(k)$.

Thus c maps $\ker(\alpha)$ into a finite set, hence

$\ker(\alpha)$ finite. \square

Proof of weak M-W May now assume $E[n](k) = E[n](\bar{k})$.

Let $E \rightarrow S = \text{Spec } \mathbb{Q}_k[B^{-1}]$ be an EC

extending E where $n \nmid B$. $\left(B \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ product of "bad primes" and } n \right)$

Seen before $E \xrightarrow{[n]} E$ is étale.

Given $a \in E(k)$, valuative criterion ensures

extension
$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \xrightarrow{\tilde{a}} & E \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \text{Spec } k & \xrightarrow{a} & E \end{array}$$

Then $[n]^{-1}(\tilde{a}) = E \times_{[n], E, \tilde{a}} S \rightarrow S$ is

étale since this property is stable under base change.

Recall that a finite extension L/K is unramified outside $B \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{O}_K[B^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L[B^{-1}]$ is étale.

In our situation, $[n]^{-1}(a) = \coprod_{i \in I} \text{Spec } K_i$

for certain finite K_i/K and

$[n]^{-1}(\tilde{a}) = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}$ for some $\mathcal{O}_K[B^{-1}]$ -order $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \prod K_i$.

Recall $X \rightarrow Y$ smooth & Y regular $\implies X$ regular.

Since $\mathcal{O}_K[B^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ étale & $\mathcal{O}_K[B^{-1}]$ normal, also \mathcal{O} is normal, so $\mathcal{O} = \prod \mathcal{O}_{K_i}[B^{-1}]$.

Conclusion Each K_i/K unramified outside B .

Recall $L_1, L_2 / K$ unramified outside B .

\Rightarrow any composite $M = L_1 \cdot L_2 / K$ unramified outside B .

Namely $\mathcal{O}_{L_1}[B^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{L_2}[B^{-1}] \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_M[B^{-1}]$

\otimes finite, but $\mathcal{O}_{L_1}[B^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{O}_{L_2}[B^{-1}]$

normal, so $\mathcal{O}_M[B^{-1}]$ is direct factor.

We conclude: $K(\pi^{-1}(a)) / K$ is unramified outside B .

Show two last. ago (use $E[n](K) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/n)^{\oplus 2}$):

$K(\pi^{-1}(a)) / K$ is Galois with $G \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/n)^{\oplus 2}$.

In particular, $[K(\pi^{-1}(a)) : K] \leq n^2$.

Thm (Hermitz - Minkowski) There are only fin.

many L/\mathbb{Q} of deg d , unramified outside a given set B .

Conclusion $L := K(\zeta_n^{-1} E(K)) / K$ is a
finite extension.

Recall We constructed an injective homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} G_{L/K} &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}(E(K), E[\zeta_n](K)) \\ \sigma &\longmapsto \left[P \xrightarrow{\lambda_\sigma} \sigma(Q) - Q \right] \\ &\qquad n \cdot Q = P \end{aligned}$$

Refinement $G_{L/K} \times E(K)/_n E(K) \longrightarrow E[\zeta_n](K)$
 $(\sigma, P) \longmapsto \lambda_\sigma(P)$

is a perfect pairing ie also

$$E(K)/_n E(K) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(G_{L/K}, E[\zeta_n](K))$$

is surjective.

Proof If $\lambda_\sigma(P) = \sigma(Q) - Q = 0 \quad \forall \sigma$

and some $Q \in E(L)$, $n \cdot Q = P$, then

$$Q \in E(L)^{G_{L/K}} = E(K), \text{ hence } P \in n E(K) \quad \square$$

Conclusion $\# E(K)/_n E(K) = \# G_{L/K} < \infty$.

\square mark M-W.

References

Appendix II to Mumford's AV
Silverman's ECs

§2 An abstract principle

Prop Γ ab. grp s.l.

1) $\Gamma/n\Gamma$ finite for some $n > 1$

2) \exists symmetric bilinear $(,): \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

a) $(x, x) \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in \Gamma$

b) $\forall C, \{x \in \Gamma \mid (x, x) \leq C\}$ is finite.

Then Γ is fin. generated.

Proof $x_1, \dots, x_s \in \Gamma$ representatives of $\Gamma/n\Gamma$ $n > 1$.

Schwartz inequality: $x \in \Gamma$ any, $1 \leq i \leq s$

$$(px + qx_i, px + qx_i)$$

$$= p^2(x, x) + 2pq(x, x_i) + q^2(x_i, x_i) \geq 0$$

$\Leftrightarrow 0 \geq$ Discriminant of

$$(x, x)T^2 + 2(x, x_i)T + (x_i, x_i)$$

$$= 4(x, x_i)^2 - 4(x_i, x_i)(x, x)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (x, x_i) \leq (x, x)^{1/2} (x_i, x_i)^{1/2}$$

$\forall p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$

So $\frac{(x, x)}{(x - x_{i_1}, x - x_{i_2})} \sim 1$ for $(x, x) \rightarrow 0$

Thus $\exists C > 0$ s.t. $\forall i$

$$(x, x) > C \implies (x - x_{i_1}, x - x_{i_2}) < 2(x, x).$$

Set $M = \{x_1, \dots, x_s\} \cup \{x \in \Gamma \mid (x, x) \leq C\}$

Claim M generates Γ .

Proof Let $x \in \Gamma$ with $x > C$.

$\exists i$ s.t. $x - x_{i_1} = ny$ some $y \in \Gamma$.

$$\text{Then } (y, y) = \frac{1}{n^2} (x - x_{i_1}, x - x_{i_1})$$

$$< \frac{2}{n^2} (x, x)$$

$$< (x, x).$$

Now use: $\{(x, x) \mid x \in \Gamma\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is discrete

by assumption b) \square

Obvious strategy now:

$\S 1$ showed $E(K)/nE(K)$ finite $\forall n$.

Need to construct $(,)$ on $E(K)$ with a), b).

Will be the Néron-Tate height pairing.

§3 Height functions

$K \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, Σ_K places of K .

$\Sigma_K \ni v$ yields normalized $|\cdot|_v : K^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$

$|\pi_v|_v = q_v^{-1}$, $\pi_v \in \mathcal{O}_{K_v}$ uniformizer, $q_v = |\mathcal{O}_{K_v}/\pi_v|$
(non-archimedean)

$|\alpha|_v = |\sigma(\alpha)|$ if $v \leftrightarrow \sigma : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (real)

$|\alpha|_v = |v(\alpha)|^2$ if $v \leftrightarrow \{\sigma, \bar{\sigma}\} : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (complex)

Product formula $\prod_{v \in \Sigma_K} |\alpha|_v = 1$.

Def Standard height $h : \mathbb{P}^n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$h(x) := \frac{1}{[K:\mathbb{Q}]} \log \prod_{v \in \Sigma_K} \max\{|x_0|_v, \dots, |x_n|_v\}$$

where K any with $x \in \mathbb{P}^n(K)$ and $x = [x_0 : \dots : x_n]$
with $x_i \in K$.

1) Normalization $\frac{1}{[K:\mathbb{Q}]}$ ensures independence of K .

(At non-archimedean v , this is formula

$$[L:K] = \sum_{w|v} f_w \cdot e_w.)$$

2) Product formula ensures independence of x_i :

$$[x_0: \dots : x_n] = [\lambda x_0 : \dots : \lambda x_n]$$

3) Clear: $\prod_i \max_j \{a_{ij}\} \geq \max_j \prod_i \{a_{ij}\}$

So product formula $\Rightarrow h(x) \geq 0 \quad \forall x$.

Example $h([x_0: \dots : x_n]) = \log \max |x_i|$

whenever $x_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\gcd(x_i) = 1$.

In this case $h(x)$ measures "size" in a very naive sense.

Lemma $A \in GL_{n+1}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$. Then \exists constant C_A s.t.

$$h(Ax) \leq h(x) + C_A \quad \forall x.$$

Proof

$$\log \left| \sum_j a_{ij} x_j \right|_v \leq \max_j \log |x_j|_v + \max_j \log |a_{ij}|$$

(v non-arch)

$$\text{sup.} \leq \max_j \log |x_j|_v + \max_j \log |x_j|_v + \log(n+1)$$

Thus, picking K with $A \in GL_{n+1}(K)$, may

$$\text{put } C_A = \log \prod_{v \in \Sigma_K} \max_{i,j} |a_{ij}|_v + \log(n+1)$$

[K:Q] \square

Important observation: Lemma also applies to A^{-1} ,

so case $h + \{ \text{bounded functions on } \mathbb{P}^n(\bar{Q}) \}$

is independent of coordinates on \mathbb{P}^n !

Def X/\bar{Q} variety, $h_1, h_2: X(\bar{Q}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ called

1) equivalent $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} h_1 - h_2$ bounded.

2) $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ any. Then set

$$h_\varphi(x) := h(\varphi(x)).$$

Prop X/k proper, $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^k$, $\psi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^l$

s.t. $\varphi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^k}(1) \cong \psi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^l}(1)$.

Then $h_\varphi \sim h_\psi$.

(Reformulation: For globally generated \mathcal{L} on X ,

the height defined from any choice of generating global

sections only depends on \mathcal{L} , up to equivalence.)

Proof $S_0, \dots, S_k := \varphi^*(T_0, \dots, T_k) \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$

S_{k+1}, \dots, S_n completing to K -basis of $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$.

$\chi = [S_0 : \dots : S_n]: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ resulting map.

To show $h_\varphi \sim h_\chi$. (* Footnote \rightarrow cf. p. 13)

Easy direction: $\max_{i=0}^k |S_i(x)|_v \leq \max_{i=0}^n |S_i(x)|_v$

$\forall x, v$, so $h_\varphi \leq h_\chi$.

Interesting direction: $\text{Im}(\chi)$ closed since X proper.

Say $\text{Im}(\chi) = V_+(\mathcal{I})$ $\mathcal{I} \subseteq K[T_0, \dots, T_n]$.

$S_i = T_i \bmod \mathcal{I}$ homogeneous

$$V_{\tau}(T_0) \cap \dots \cap V_{\tau}(T_k) \cap X(X) = \emptyset$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{rad}(S_0, \dots, S_k) = (K[T_0, \dots, T_n] / I)_{\tau}$$

In other words, $\exists q > 0$ s.t.

$$T_{k+i}^q = \sum_{j=0}^k F_{ij}(T_0, \dots, T_n) T_j \pmod{I}$$

with $\deg F_{ij} = q - 1 \quad i = 1, \dots, n - k$

$\forall x, v$

$$\Rightarrow q |S_{k+i}(x)|_v \leq (q-1) \log \max_{j \leq n} |S_j(x)|_v$$

$$+ \log \max_{i \leq k} |S_i(x)|_v$$

$$+ C_v$$

with C_v from coefficients of the F_{ij} + additional constant at archimedean v (log # monomials in F_{ij}) } $\neq 0$ only for fin many v

$$\Rightarrow \log \max_{j \leq n} |S_j(x)|_v \leq \log \max_{j \leq k} |S_j(x)|_v + C_v \quad \square$$

* Footnote: The prev. Lem. already showed that h_x (up to equivalence) is independent of the choice of basis of $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$.

So the argument \Rightarrow

$$h_\varphi \underset{\textcircled{1}}{\sim} h_x \underset{\textcircled{2}}{\sim} h_{x'} \underset{\textcircled{2}}{\sim} h_\varphi$$

from Lemma

) x' from completion of φ to basis of $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$

) $\textcircled{1}$ & $\textcircled{2}$ same proof, so we only consider $\textcircled{1}$.

* Additional Footnote: Also pass to lin indep subset of S_0, \dots, S_k first

Thm (Weil) X proj var / $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$

There is a unique way to define

$$\text{Pic } X \longrightarrow \text{Map} (X(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}), \mathbb{R}) / \text{Bounded pts}$$

$$L \longmapsto h_L$$

s.t. 1) $h_{L_1 \otimes L_2} = h_{L_1} + h_{L_2}$

2) For L very ample, giving $\varphi: X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$

$$h_L = h_\varphi.$$

Remark Prev. prop shows that $h_L := h_\varphi$ is well-def
in very ample case 2).

Proof Given L , write $L = L_1 \otimes L_2^{-1}$ with

L_1, L_2 ample. Then 1) forces

$$h_L = h_{L_1} - h_{L_2}.$$

This is well defined if we can show

$$h_{L_1 \otimes L_2} = h_{L_1} + h_{L_2} \text{ for every couple } L_1, L_2.$$

Let S_0, \dots, S_n resp. T_0, \dots, T_m be generating sections for L_1 resp. L_2 .

Then $\{S_i \otimes T_j\}$ generate $L_1 \otimes L_2$.

By prev. prop, may be used to compute $h_{L_1 \otimes L_2}$.

$$\text{Since } \max_{i,j} |S_i(x) \cdot T_j(x)|_r$$

$$= \max_i |S_i(x)| \cdot \max_j |T_j(x)|,$$

$$\text{get derived } h_{L_1 \otimes L_2} = h_{L_1} + h_{L_2}. \quad \square$$

§ 4 Northcott property

Clear from example: $\forall C$

$\{x \in \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{Q}), h(x) \leq C\}$ is finite.

Prop (Northcott) $\forall C, d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$

$\{x \in \mathbb{P}^n(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}), h(x) \leq C, [\mathbb{Q}(x):\mathbb{Q}] \leq d\} < \infty$.

Proof By induction ok for \mathbb{P}^{n-1} & degree $\leq d-1$.

So enough to consider

$M = \{x = [1: x_1: \dots: x_n] \in (\mathbb{P}^n - \mathbb{P}^{n-1})(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$
s.t. $h(x) \leq C, [\mathbb{Q}(x):\mathbb{Q}] = d\}$

Define

$M \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathbb{P}^{nd}(\mathbb{Q})$

$x \longmapsto [1: \text{coeffs of all char poly of the } x_1, \dots, x_n \text{ for } \mathbb{Q}(x)/\mathbb{Q}.]$

Then τ has finite fibers.

So enough to see Claim $\exists a, b > 0$ s.t.

$$h(\tau(x)) \leq a \cdot h(x) + b$$

$$\text{Let } T^d + a_{d-1}T^{d-1} + \dots + a_0 = \prod_{\sigma \in G_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}}/G_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/K}} (T - \sigma(x))$$

be char poly of some $x \in K$, $[K:\mathbb{Q}] = d$.

$$\text{Then } a_j = s_j(x, \sigma_1(x), \dots, \sigma_{d-1}(x))$$

\nearrow
 j -th elementary symmetric poly

$$\text{We get } |a_j|_p^d = \prod_{v|p} |a_j|_v \quad \text{and}$$

$$|a_j|_v \leq \begin{cases} \max_{v|p} |x|_v^j & (p < \infty) \\ \text{const. } \max_{v|p} |x|_v^j & (p = \infty) \end{cases}$$

constant = ~~∞~~ terms of s_j .

because $\{v|p\} = G_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}} \cdot v$ and thus

$$\max_i |\sigma_i(x)|_v = \max_{v|p} |x|_v.$$

Plug this into the defn. of height. \square

§5 Néron-Tate height

Lemma Γ ab. grp, $h: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ s.t. for $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \Gamma$
(Tate)

$$h\left(\sum_i x_i\right) - \sum_{i < j} h(x_i + x_j) + \sum_i h(x_i) \sim 0$$

Then $\exists!$ symmetric bilinear

i.e. bounded
on $\Gamma \times \Gamma \times \Gamma$

$$b: \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

and a unique linear

$$\text{s.t. } h \sim \hat{h}$$

$$l: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$\hat{h}(x) = \frac{1}{2}b(x, x) + l(x).$$

Proof

$$\beta(x_1, x_2) := h(x_1 + x_2) - h(x_1) - h(x_2).$$

Then β is symmetric & bilinear up to a bounded
fct on $\Gamma \times \Gamma \times \Gamma$:

$$\beta(x_1 + x_2, x_3) \sim \beta(x_1, x_3) + \beta(x_2, x_3)$$

$$\text{Then } b(x_1, x_2) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^{-n} \beta(2^n x_1, 2^n x_2)$$

exists and satisfies $b \sim \beta$ on $\Gamma \times \Gamma$.

(geometric series argument)

$$\lambda(x) := h(x) - \frac{1}{2} b(x, x)$$

\Rightarrow linear up to bounded fun.

Then
$$l(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-n} \lambda(2^n x)$$

exists and
$$h \sim \hat{h} := \frac{1}{2} b + l. \quad \square$$

Thm of Cube (cf. AV Lect 20)

E/k EC, \mathcal{L} an lb on E . Then, on $E \times E \times E$,

$$m^* \mathcal{L} \otimes \bigotimes_{i < j} (m_{ij}^* \mathcal{L})^{-1} \otimes \bigotimes_i p_i^* \mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{O}_{E \times E \times E}.$$

Cor / Defn Case $k = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.

The height function $h_{\mathcal{L}} : E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies all assumptions of Tate's Lemma.

$\Rightarrow \exists!$ $\hat{h}_{\mathcal{L}} \sim h_{\mathcal{L}}$ of the form

$$\hat{h}_{\mathcal{L}}(x) = \frac{1}{2} b(x, x) + l(x).$$

Canonical height or Néron-Tate height

Proof of Mordell-Weil

Given E/K , pick \mathcal{L} ample + symmetric,
meaning $(-1)^* \mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{L}$.

For example, take $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}(2)$.

Let $b(\cdot, \cdot)$ be the quadratic form in defn
of $\hat{h}_{\mathcal{L}}$. Since $\hat{h}_{\mathcal{L}}(-x) = \hat{h}_{\mathcal{L}}(x)$, by
the symmetry, actually

$$\hat{h}_{\mathcal{L}}(x) = \frac{1}{2} b(x, x)$$

Then b satisfies

a) $b(x, x) \geq 0 \quad \forall x$ (since h on \mathbb{P}^n is ≥ 0)

b) $\left\{ x \in E(K) \text{ s.t. } (x, x) \leq C \right\}$ finite
for all C

by Northcott property for \mathbb{P}^n .

\implies Abstract principle from §2 applies

and shows $E(K)$ fin. gen. \square

Rank All arguments work without change for
abelian varieties.